Simon Ross Simon Ross

To: Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 9 21 AM

Subject:

**Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers** 

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Levin Senator Stabenow Representative Smith Message text follows

Simon Ross 5962 Bedford Place Ann Arbor, MI 48105-9567

February 5, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Simon B & Margaret Jo Ross

From: To: Sirop Bedrosian Sirop Bedrosian

Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 10.41 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Durbin Senator Fitzgerald Representative Biggert Message text follows

Sirop Bedrosian 671 Cavalcade Circle Naperville, IL 60540

February 5, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren&#8217,t required to allow competitors access to the market I'm also concerned about the Commission&#8217,s move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Sirop Bedrosian

Sol Troy

To:

Sol Troy

Date: Subject: Wed, Feb 5, 2003 8:55 AM
Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients

Senator Warner Senator Allen Representative Cantor Message text follows:

Sol Troy 16142 English Setter Ct. Amissville, VA 20106-2248

February 5, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Sol B Troy

Phil Wessells

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Tue, Jan 28, 2003 6 16 PM

Subject:

Daddies boy.

Really a poor effort on the trianal review. Regards, Phil Wessells

Phil Wessells

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Tue, Jan 28, 2003 6.16 PM

Subject:

Daddies boy ..

Really a poor effort on the trianal review. Regards, Phil Wessells

Richard Pyle

To:

Richard Pyle

Date:

Tue, Jan 28, 2003 3 19 PM

Subject:

**Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers** 

Message sent to the following recipients Senator DeWine Senator Voinovich Representative Regula Message text follows

Richard Pyle 4984 Deerfield Ave N.W North Lawrence, OH 44666

January 28, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely.

Richard Pyle

Richard Roberts Richard Roberts

To: Date:

Mon, Feb 3, 2003 2.44 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Craig Senator Crapo Representative Otter Message text follows:

Richard Roberts 1140 Cherrywood Drive Coeur d' Alene, ID 83814

February 3, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Richard M Roberts Sr.

Richard Rosenkrans

To:

Richard Rosenkrans

Date:

Tue, Feb 4, 2003 11.55 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Representative King Message text follows.

Richard Rosenkrans 3439 Pawnee Place Sioux City, IA 51104

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Richard Rosenkrans

Richard Rosenkrans Richard Rosenkrans

To: Date:

Tue, Feb 4, 2003 11,55 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients
Senator Grassley
Senator Harkin
Message text follows

Richard Rosenkrans 3439 Pawnee Place Sioux City, IA 51104

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Richard Rosenkrans

Richard Sanders

To:

Richard Sanders

Date: Subject: Tue, Feb 4, 2003 12 46 PM Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Hatch Senator Bennett Message text follows.

Richard Sanders 2776 S 3000 W West Haven, UT 84401

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren&#8217,t required to allow competitors access to the market I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Richard Sanders

Richard Sanders Richard Sanders

To: Date:

Tue, Feb 4, 2003 12 46 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Representative Bishop Message text follows

Richard Sanders 2776 S 3000 W West Haven, UT 84401

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission&#8217,s move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Richard Sanders

Richard Sayer

To:

Richard Sayer

Date:

Mon, Feb 3, 2003 2 42 PM

Subject:

**Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers** 

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Dole

Message text follows:

Richard Sayer 609 Adler Lane Goldsboro, NC 27530-5542

February 3, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Dr Richard L. Sayer

Richard Worsena Richard Worsena

To: Date:

Tue, Feb 4, 2003 10 28 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Lugar Senator Bayh Representative Buyer Message text follows

Richard Worsena 4313 W Moss Lane Bloomington, IN 47403-9040

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren&#8217,t required to allow competitors access to the market I'm also concerned about the Commission&#8217,s move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Richard F Worsena

Rick Magner Rick Magner

To: Date:

Tue, Feb 4, 2003 2.27 PM

Subject:

**Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers** 

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Feinstein Senator Boxer Representative Issa Message text follows

Rick Magner 126 Elena way Oceanside, CA 92057

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I&#8217,m also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Rick Magner

Riley Herndon

To:

Riley Herndon

Date:

Mon, Feb 3, 2003 11 40 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Graham Senator Nelson Representative Deutsch Message text follows

Riley Herndon 7101 NW I0 Place Plantation, FL 33313-6007

February 3, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren?t required to allow competitors access to the market 1?m also concerned about the Commission?s move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Riley B Herndon

Rita Brannaman

To:

Rita Brannaman

Date:

Wed, Feb 5, 2003 8 47 AM

Subject:

**Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers** 

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Grassley Senator Harkin Representative Leach Message text follows

Rita Brannaman 5125 Sutliff Rd. NE Solon, IA 52333

February 5, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Rita Brannaman

Rob Stitt

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Thu, Jan 30, 2003 3 14 AM

Subject:

Telecommunications Policy vis-a-vis the RBOCs

Mr Powell

As a telecommunications consumer, I am growing increasingly frightened by the trend I am seeing in the government's handling of telecommunications policy. Currently, everyone from the Federal government down is knuckling under to the few remaining regional Bell operating companies (RBOCs)

Unfortunately, those companies have done little to benefit consumers and, indeed, frequently have done just the opposite. Living in an area served by SBC, I have seen and experienced their actions first hand.

SBC advertises how they are "simplifying things for customers" by changing their name to SBC. Clearly the leaders of that company have not got a clue, as their actions speak louder than words

- They are fighting tooth-and-nail to ensure that competitors cannot make a profit. While SBC (and its predecessors) had a publicly approved monopoly to finance the construction of their network (largely using profits from long distance calls), they NOW want to claim the local lines as their own—and charge exorbitant fees for access by competitors (and they'd like to block competitors' access entirely)
- They cancelled a contract with Sprint (perhaps others) that allowed Sprint and Sprint PCS names to display on the caller id/names units belonging to SBC customers (SBC didn't reduce the monthly fee to their customers, but their actions clearly diminished the value of the service).
- They dropped "The Works" package of custom calling services When I last checked their web site, it appeared that the replacement offerings were \$20-40 more per month for the same services
- They (at least used to) advertise the reliability of their Call Notes service--yet I tried to leave someone a message using Call Notes the other night and discovered SBC "routinely" took the service down for several hours!! That is hardly the reliability they promoted in their advertisements a few years ago.

Through these actions and many more, I am terrified to think about the future of telecommunications if SBC and the few remaining RBOCs get even half of what they are demanding Unfortunately, our government is tending to give them everything they want and more.

I urge you to begin focusing on the needs of CONSUMERS and to quit being so biased to the whims and whinings of the RBOCs

Sincerely,

Robert L Stitt robstitt@acm.org

600 W 107th St Apt 208

Kansas City, MO 64114-5927

(816) 941-2384

CC:

Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

Rob Stitt

To:

Mike Powell

Date:

Thu, Jan 30, 2003 3:14 AM

Subject:

Telecommunications Policy vis-a-vis the RBOCs

Mr. Powell:

As a telecommunications consumer, I am growing increasingly frightened by the trend I am seeing in the government's handling of telecommunications policy. Currently, everyone from the Federal government down is knuckling under to the few remaining regional Bell operating companies (RBOCs).

Unfortunately, those companies have done little to benefit consumers and, indeed, frequently have done just the opposite. Living in an area served by SBC, I have seen and experienced their actions first hand.

SBC advertises how they are "simplifying things for customers" by changing their name to SBC. Clearly the leaders of that company have not got a clue, as their actions speak louder than words

- They are fighting tooth-and-nail to ensure that competitors cannot make a profit While SBC (and its predecessors) had a publicly approved monopoly to finance the construction of their network (largely using profits from long distance calls), they NOW want to claim the local lines as their own--and charge exorbitant fees for access by competitors (and they'd like to block competitors' access entirely)
- They cancelled a contract with Sprint (perhaps others) that allowed Sprint and Sprint PCS names to display on the caller id/names units belonging to SBC customers (SBC didn't reduce the monthly fee to their customers, but their actions clearly diminished the value of the service).
- They dropped "The Works" package of custom calling services. When I last checked their web site, it appeared that the replacement offerings were \$20-40 more per month for the same services
- They (at least used to) advertise the reliability of their Call Notes service—yet I tried to leave someone a message using Call Notes the other night and discovered SBC "routinely" took the service down for several hours!! That is hardly the reliability they promoted in their advertisements a few years ago .

Through these actions and many more, I am terrified to think about the future of telecommunications if SBC and the few remaining RBOCs get even half of what they are demanding Unfortunately, our government is tending to give them everything they want and more.

I urge you to begin focusing on the needs of CONSUMERS and to quit being so biased to the whims and whinings of the RBOCs

Sincerely,

Robert L Stitt robstitt@acm.org

600 W 107th St Apt 208

Kansas City, MO 64114-5927 (816) 941-2384

CC:

Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein

From: To: Robert P Case Robert P. Case

Date:

Tue, Feb 4, 2003 12:42 AM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Feinstein Senator Boxer Representative Royce Message text follows.

Robert P. Case 692 N Adele St #2 Orange, CA 92867

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren&#8217,t required to allow competitors access to the market. I&#8217,m also concerned about the Commission&#8217,s move to relieve all broadband internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Robert P Case

Robert Charter Robert Charter

To: Date:

Tue, Feb 4, 2003 6 43 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Levin Senator Stabenow Representative Stupak Message text follows:

Robert Charter 1828 N.Verona Ave. Iron River, MI 49935

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Robert Charter

robert patterson

To:

robert patterson

Date:

Wed, Jan 29, 2003 4 14 PM

Subject:

**Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers** 

Message sent to the following recipients Senator DeWine Senator Voinovich Representative Oxley Message text follows.

robert patterson 18923 mudsock rd wapakoneta, OH 45895-9063

January 29, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market I&#8217,m also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

robert I. patterson

Robert A Wetzel Robert A Wetzel

To: Date:

Tue, Feb 4, 2003 5:27 PM

Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Specter Senator Santorum Representative Weldon Message text follows.

Robert A Wetzel 2404 Linden Drive Havertown, PA 19083

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Robert A Wetzel

Robert and Carol Carl

To:

Robert and Carol Carl Tue, Feb 4, 2003 12.00 PM

Date: Subject:

Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients Senator Dodd Senator Lieberman Representative Shays Message text follows:

Robert and Carol Carl 7 Buxton Ln Riverside, CT 06878-1601

February 4, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Robert and Carol Carl